**PEER REVIEW FEEDBACK**

**Used in support of: INFO 3140, INFO 3240, INFO 3300, and INFO 4240**

**\* Review the assignment document and class rubrics before completing**

**Purpose:**

The purposes of the peer-review process are three-fold.

The first purpose is to encourage students to produce the best possible materials by providing a specific and structured proofreading and review process before the product is turned into the instructor for grading.

The second purpose is to allow peer-to-peer instruction, having each student raise the level of learning of the class by presenting new ideas and applications of concepts to their peers.

The third purpose is to provide students with additional opportunities to practice analytical and critical-thinking skills in the course as they analyze the structures, evidence, arguments, and plans as presented by their peers.

**Feedback:**

**Assignment name: PHASE III: VS APPLICATION AND REPORTS Review Date: 6/6/2021**

**Submission by: McKayla Sanchez**

**Peer reviewer: Nick Bascone**

Read/review the deliverable once without pausing to write comments. Then read/review the deliverable again with the following questions in mind:

1. Does the deliverable follow the submission and assignment guidelines and expectations (review learning outcomes from the Module being assessed)?

Yes, McKayla made the needed modifications to her Phase II based on faculty feedback. Two purposeful reports were added to her project. One report detailed Orders by Customers and Locations and had an effective parameter. The other report detailed Employees and Orders Information and had enhanced details. She also created a startup form for the project creating an integrated application, with each form easily opened from the one startup form. The submission includes a readme.txt file and the visual studio files split up into a forms and reports folder, though nothing is written in there right now.

1. Does the deliverable provide evidence for critical, practical and creative thinking?

Yes, the deliverable provides evidence of critical thinking with the enhanced details form showing data about employees with order data organized in a systematic and pleasing aesthetic. This is also practical because if you need to track which employees delivered which orders in case of an issue or complaint it is fairly easy to find it. This is creative because the dropdown is intuitive, and the label and formatting is appropriate. For the Orders by Customers and Locations parameterized showed critical thinking by adding the parameter and using it to narrow down orders/customers by location. This is practical because it helps to narrow down lists if people are looking for specific customers lor locations. The layout is also creative and fitting in with the previous color theme.

1. Provide positive feedback that the deliverable does well.

Both reports are designed well and easily readable. The startup form runs all of the forms seamlessly and has nice details including project logo and colors. I liked how easy it would be to find specific data with the Employees and Orders drilldown, and I liked that there were necessary details included. The parameter really helps when looking for customers and locations.

1. Provide recommendation(s) for deliverable improvement(s).

Add project name, logo, and colors to your reports to create more branding and creative details. You could center the text above all columns and even within some important columns to make it easier to read. Make sure the report with parameters has validation for an entry that does not fit within the parameter; meaning that there should be a message/error box telling the user that their entry does not fit the parameter. You could maybe change the parameter to multi-parametric to help incase there are multiple requirements.